

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginin 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,834	01/17/2001	Harold C. Fleischer III	P20344	4921
7055	7590 01/13/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			MWANYOHA, SADIKI P	
1950 ROLA RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON,	A 20171		2642	$\overline{}$
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	1 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/760,834	FLEISCHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sadiki Mwanyoha	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT	TION.	, ,				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, to Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	<u> </u>					
,	This action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do						
since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.	the first sentence of the specific	ation or in an Application Data Sheet.				
a) The translation of the foreign langua						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do reference was included in the first sentence	e of the specification or in an Ap	98 120 and/or 121 since a specific oplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/760,834

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Amendment received October 31, 2003 has been considered by Examiner. Examiner acknowledges that the amendments to claims 1, 6-7, 12, and 16-23 are "unrelated to patentability" [see Applicant's REMARKS p. 11]. Notwithstanding, the previous grounds for rejection of claims 1-23 have been maintained from those set forth in the Official Action of August 13, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-7, 9-10, 12-16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent 6,282,267 to Nolting in view of US patent 5,793,853 to Sbisa[1] and further in view of US patent 5,734,705 to Schlossman et. al.
- 3. Claims 3 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolting in view of Sbisa[1] and Schlossman and further in view of al and US patent app. US 20020090074A1 to Sbisa[2].
- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolting in view of Sbisa[1] and Schlossman and further in view of US patent 5,940,485 to Sapra et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,834

Art Unit: 2642

- 5. Claims 11, 19, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolting in view of Sbisa[1] and Schlossman and in further view of US patent app. US 20020094070A1 to Mott et al.
- 6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nolting in view of Sbisa[1] and Schlossman and in further view of US patent 5,333,183 to Herbert.

Response to Arguments

7. In response to Applicant's argument that Examiner's reliance on Sbisa (US patent 5,793,853) is misplaced, please consider the following.

Applicant has argued that SCP (16) taught by Sbisa "does not sample data to be used in an SMDR formatted report". On the contrary, according to the invention of Sbisa, switch (12) sends a message to SCP (16) identifying the requested services [see Sbisa col. 5, line 23]. Implicitly, the SCP (16) samples the data in this message and creates a CDR (i.e. SMDR formatted report).

Applicant has also argued that Sbisa does not teach "formatting sampled data as SMDR data". However, Sbisa teaches the merging of individual records into a single network record [see Sbisa col. 3, line 30]. Merging in this context reads on "formatting", since formatting may entail operations such as appending or concatenating, which are both associated with merging. And while Sbisa applies the merging (i.e. <u>formatting</u>) of network records to call detail record (i.e. <u>CDR</u>), as shown in the above referenced Office Action, Schlossman et al. teaches that a CDR is

Application/Control Number: 09/760,834

Art Unit: 2642

an alternative reference to an SMDR, so application of such formatting for generating SMDR records would have been obvious over the prior art of reference [see Sbisa col. 1, line 19].

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sadiki Mwanyoha whose telephone number is 703-305-3417. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

spm

AHMAD F. MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700